

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,160	HA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keith J. Godfrey	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Keith J. Godfrey.

(2) Kevin King.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103 based on Zakhidov in view of Stein

Claims discussed:

43, 44

Prior art documents discussed:

Zakhidov, Stein


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed incorporating dependent claim 44 into independent claim 43 in order to distinguish the claims over the prior art. Applicant's representative agreed to the change, to be made by Examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached Examiner's Amendment..